1		
2		
3		
4	UNITED S	TATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
5	IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
6		
7	In Re) Chapter 11 Proceedings
8	RFI REALTY, INC.,) Case No. 04-10486-PHX-CGC
9	Debtor.) (asc 110. 04-10400-1 1124-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0
10) UNDER ADVISEMENT DECISION RE
11) MOTION TO REMOVE AISLIC) AS ADMINISTRATOR OF
12		SF ESCROW 2
13		
14		
15	This matter comes before the Court on Steadfast Insurance Company's ("Steadfast") motion	
16	to remove American Insurance Spec	ialty Lines Insurance Company ("AISLIC") as administrator

This matter comes before the Court on Steadfast Insurance Company's ("Steadfast") motion to remove American Insurance Specialty Lines Insurance Company ("AISLIC") as administrator of SF Escrow 2¹ in the administrative case. Steadfast bases its request to remove AISLIC on the fact that AISLIC did not pay the State of California, California Department of Toxic Substance Control ("DTSC") when a demand was made as required under the Coverage And Claims Settlement Agreement ("CCSA"). Steadfast contends that this is evidence of AISLIC's self interest in not having to pay for the clean up costs. AISLIC responds that the non-payment was justified based on the language of the settlements and corresponding order. Further, AISLIC argues that it has shown that it has acted appropriately as evidenced by its sequestering of funds in SF Escrow 2. A hearing was held on April 29, 2008 concurrent with a hearing regarding adversary 07-520.²

Though the Court has determined that AISLIC should have paid the DTSC claim, there is

 $^{^1}$ The motion originally requested removal from both SF Escrow 1 and 2. The motion to remove AISLIC from SF Escrow 1 was withdrawn verbally at the April 29, 2008 hearing.

² The Court incorporates the findings and conclusions made in the under advisement decision made June 25, 2008 in adversary 07-520.

1 insufficient cause to remove AISLIC as administer of SF Escrow 2 and the Court is further of the 2 view that any change in the escrow administrator at this time would be unduly disruptive for all 3 parties. Accordingly, Steadfast's motion to remove AISLIC as administrator of SF Escrow 2 is 4 denied without prejudice. Counsel for AISLIC is to upload an appropriate form of order. 5 So ordered. 6 DATED: June 25, 2008 7 lancel Carr 8 9 10 11 12 13 **COPY** of the foregoing mailed by the BNC and/or sent by auto-generated mail to: 14 Alisa C. Lacey, Esq. Christopher Graver, Esq. 15 STINSÔN MORRISON HECKER, LLP 1850 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2100 16 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4584 17 Attorneys for Debtors 18 Frederick Petersen, Esq. MESCH CLARK & RÔTHSCHILD P.C. 19 259 North Meyer Avenue Tucson AZ 85701-1090 20 Attorneys for Porta Bella Lender, LLC 21 Thomas J. Salerno, Esq. SQUIRE SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 22 Two Renaissance Square 40 North Central Avenue, #2700 23 Phoenix, AZ 85004 Attorneys for Whittaker Corporation 24 Thomas G. Heller, Esq. 25 Deputy Attorney General California Dept of Justice 26 300 S. Spring St 11-N Los Angeles CA 90013 27 Attorneys for California Dept of Toxic Substances Control

28

1 2 3	John J. Fries, Esq. RYLEY CARLOCK & APPLEWHITE PA 1999 Broadway, #1800 Denver CO 80202 Attorneys for Knight Piesold	
4	Robert J. Spurlock, Esq. Bonnett, Fairbourn, Friedman & Balant, PC 2901 N. Central Ave., #1000 Phoenix, AZ 85012 Attorneys for American International Specialty Lines Insurance Company	
5		
6		
7	Gerald K. Smith, Esq. Marvin C. Ruth, Esq. Lewis & Roca, LLP 40 N. Central Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85004-4429 Attorneys for Steadfast Santa Clarita Holdings, L.L.C.	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		