|    |  | FILED<br>DEC 1 3 2005           |
|----|--|---------------------------------|
| 1  | IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT<br>U.S. BANKRUPICI COURT<br>FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA            |                                 |
| 2  | FOR THE DIST   | TRICT OF ARIZONA                |
| 3  | In re:   | Chapter 13                      |
| 4  | )<br>MARISOL C. LOPEZ  | No. 4-04-bk-00002-JMM           |
| 5  | )<br>Debtor.   | Adversary No. 4-05-ap-00190-JMM |
| 6  | )<br>SANDRA MINJAREZ, )  | MEMORANDUM DECISION             |
| 7  | )<br>Plaintiff,  | (Opinion to Post)               |
| 8  | vs. )  | (0p                             |
| 9  | MARISOL C. LOPEZ,  |                                 |
| 10 | Defendant. )   |                                 |
| 11 | The Debtor filed a chapter 13 proceeding on January 2, 2004. Two months later, she                       |                                 |
| 12 | rented a home from Sandra Minjarez. Thinking she also had a sale contract, Debtor tendered a \$5,000     |                                 |
| 13 | down payment over time.  |                                 |
| 14 | However, the Debtor also became delinquent on her rental payments, over a nine-month                     |                                 |
| 15 | period, of \$8,449.02.   |                                 |
| 16 | The court determined that there never was a binding sale contract relative to the real                   |                                 |
| 17 | property. Thus, the legal issue is: Who gets the \$5,000?  |                                 |
| 18 | The court finds a valid right to an offset exists, and that Sandra Minjarez may retain it in             |                                 |
| 19 | reduction of her post-petition rental payments. This post-petition obligation to make rental payments is |                                 |
| 20 | not an item that is included, or includable, within a chapter 13 plan. It simply becomes a Schedule J    |                                 |
| 21 | expense item that the Trustee uses to measure a plan's feasibility. An asset was not created which can   |                                 |
| 22 | be used to satisfy plan obligations.   |                                 |
| 23 |  |                                 |
| 24 |  |                                 |
| 25 |  |                                 |
| 26 |  |                                 |
|    | h:\wp\orders\  |                                 |

1 Therefore, the landlord, Sandra Minjarez, may apply that \$5,000 payment toward 2 repayment of her unpaid rent. 3 A separate judgment will be entered. 4 DATED: December **13**, 2005. 5 6 amesher harla 7 AR MES M MARI UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE 8 COPIES served as indicated below this 3 9 day of December, 2005, upon: 10 Dean Loren Axelrod Law Office of Dean Axelrod, PLC 11 2730 E. Broadway, #160 Tucson, AZ 85716 12 Email: dean.axelrod@azbar.org 13 Eric Ollason 182 N. Court Ave. 14 Tucson, AZ 85701 Email eollason@182court.com 15 George D. Carroll 16 252 W. Ina Rd., Suite 203 Tucson, AZ 85704-0001 17 Email gdcarroll@dcktrustee.com Attorney for Chapter 13 Trustee 18 Dianne C. Kerns 19 7320 N. La Cholla #154 PMB 413 20 Tucson, AZ 85741-2305 Email andrea.hopkins@dcktrustee.com 21 Chapter 13 Trustee 22 Office of the United States Trustee 230 North First Avenue, Suite 204 23 Phoenix, AZ 85003-1706 U.S. Mail 24 25  $Bv \mathcal{N}$ 26 Judiciai 2 h:\wp\orders\