		FILED	
		DEC 1 5 2005	
1	U.S. BANKRUPICY COURT IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURTHE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA		
3			
4	In re:	Chapter 11	
5	THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH OF) DIOCESE OF TUCSON aka THE)	No. 4-04-bk-04721-JMM	
6	DIOCESE OF TUCSON, an Arizona) corporation sole,	MEMORANDUM DECISION (RE:	
7	Debtor.	MOTION TO DISMISS)	
8	PHILIP GREGORY SPEERS,	(Opinion to Post)	
9	Plaintiff,		
10	VS.)		
11	THE ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH OF DIOCESE OF TUCSON,)		
12	Defendant.		
13			
14	The court has before it a motion to dismiss filed by the Defendant/Debtor in this case, to		
15	dismiss the Plaintiff's Complaint.		
16	The Complaint is in violation of Rule 1001 of the FED. R. OF BANKR. P. That rule		
17	provides, regarding all civil actions in bankruptcy courts:		
18			
19	These rules shall be constructed to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of every case and		
20	proceeding.		
21			
22	(Emphasis supplied.) Rule 1001 is applicable to all adversary proceedings in bankruptcy court. It		
23	mirrors, in substance, Rule 1 of the FED. R. OF CIV. P.		
24	Each pleading is required to be simple, concise, and direct. FED. R. OF BANKR. P.		
25	7008(e)(1). Moreover, FED. R. OF CIV. P. 8(a) mandates that a claim for relief "shall contain a short		
26	and plain statement of the claim showing that the	pleader is entitled to relief."	

h:\wp\orders\diocese

1	The Complaint herein violates these rules. It is:		
2	• 74 pages long;		
3	Contains 20 counts, and		
4	Consists of 468 separately numbered paragraphs.		
5	Moreover, the Complaint is disorganized and rambling.		
6	A federal court has the power to dismiss a complaint when a plaintiff fails to comply with		
7	the rules of civil procedure, including FED. R. OF CIV. P. 8(a)(2)'s "short and plain statement"		
8	requirement. Kuehl v. F.D.I.C., 8 F.3d 905 (1st Cir. 1993).		
9	FED. R. OF CIV. P. 8(a) requires parties to make their pleadings straightforward, so that		
10	judges and adverse parties need not try to fish a gold coin from a bucket of mud. U.S. ex rel. Garst v.		
11	Lockheed-Martin Corp., 328 F.3d 374, 378 (7th Cir. 2003).		
12	A court has discretion to <i>sua sponte</i> require a plaintiff to comply with FED. R. OF CIV. P.		
13	8(a)(2). Fikes v. City of Daphne, et al., 79 F.3d 1079, 1083, Fn. 6 (11th Cir. 1996). See also Johnson		
14	Enterprises of Jacksonville, Inc. v. FPL Group, Inc. et al., 162 F.3d 1290, 1332, Fn. 94 (11th Cir. 1998).		
15	Neither the court nor the Defendant should be required to try to plow through the		
16	Plaintiff's Complaint in an attempt to get the matter disposed of on its merits.		
17	Accordingly, the court will enter the following orders:		
18	1. The Plaintiff is ORDERED to file an amended complaint which complies		
19	with Rules 8 and 10 of the FED. R. OF CIV. P. (made applicable to		
20	bankruptcy proceedings by FED. R. OF BANKR. P. 7008 and 7010) within		
21	20 days;		
22	2. If the Plaintiff fails to file such an amended complaint within such period,		
23	his Complaint shall be dismissed with prejudice; and		
24			
25			
26			
	h:\wp\orders\diocese 2		

1	3. The Defendant's motion to dismiss is DENIED, as moot, without	
2	prejudice to re-urging the motion should the Plaintiff comply with this	
3	court's order to file an amended complaint.	
4	A separate order will issue.	
5		
6	DATED: December <u>14</u> , 2005.	
7		James M. Malan
8		JAMES M. MARLAR
9		UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
10	COPIES served as indicated below this <u>15</u> day of December, 2005, upon:	
11	Susan G. Boswell and Kasey C. Nye	Lowell E. Rothschild and Michael McGrath
12	Quarles & Brady Streich Lang LLP	Mesch, Clark & Rothschild, P.C.
13	One South Church Avenue, Suite 1700 Tucson, Arizona 85701-1621	259 North Meyer Avenue Tucson, Arizona 85701-1090
14	sboswell@quarles.com knye@quarles.com	<u>lrothschild@mcrazlaw.com</u> <u>mmcgrath@mcrazlaw.com</u>
15	Attorneys for Debtor	Attorneys for Roman Catholic Parishes
16	C. Taylor Ashworth and Alisa C. Lacey Stinson Morrison Hecker LLP	Christopher J. Pattock Office of the U.S. Trustee
17	1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 2100 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4584	230 North First Avenue, Suite 204 Phoenix, Arizona 85003-1706
18	tashworth@stinsonmoheck.com	christopher.j.pattock@usdoj.gov
	<u>rmcgee@stinsonmoheck.com</u> Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
19	Lynne M. Cadigan and Kim E. Williamson	Sally M. Darcy
20	Cadigan & Williamson, PLLC 504 South Stone Avenue	McEvoy, Daniels & Darcy, P.C. 4560 East Camp Lowell Drive
21	Tucson, Arizona 85701 Imcadigan@qwest.net	Tucson, Arizona 85712 darcysm@aol.com
22	kewilliamson@uswest.net	Attorneys for Unknown Claims Representative
23	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	A. Deter Detler
24	Charles L. Arnold Frazer Ryan Goldberg Arnold & Gittler	A. Bates Butler Fennemore Craig, P.C.
25	3101 North Central Avenue, Suite 1600 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2615	One South Church Avenue, Suite 100 Tucson, Arizona 85701
	<u>carnold@frgaglaw.com</u> Guardian Ad Litem	bbutler@fclaw.com Unknown Claims Representative
26		

Legal Mail Phillip Gregory Speers Y.C.A.D.C. 112062A 200 W. Court Street Yuma, AZ 85364 U.S. Mail By_ (Y Judicial Assistant h:\wp\orders\diocese