FILED

AUG 3 0 2007

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

8	
9	In re:) Chapter 7
10	STEVEN GORDON,) No. 4-06-bk-00974-JMM
11	Debtor.) MEMORANDUM DECISION
12	
13	The Trustee's motion for turnover and the Trustee's objection to exemption came on regularly
14	for hearing on August 20, 2007. The Trustee was represented by Michael M. Neal; the Debtor was
15	represented by Ronald Ryan.
16	After consideration of the undisputed facts and the law, the court now rules.
17	
18	<u>FACTS</u>
19	
20	1. Between June 22, 2006 and August 17, 2006, the Debtor's employer withheld, from
21	Debtor's wages, pursuant to a garnishment of creditor Discover Bank, the sum of \$1,386.27.
22	2. On August 15, 2006, the Debtor filed a chapter 7 bankruptcy petition.
23	3. Discover Bank never sought to perfect its garnishments by obtaining a judgment
24	against the garnishee-defendant (the employer).
25	4. On August 31, 2006, Discover Bank released the garnishment.
26	5. On August 31, 2006, the employer returned \$1,386.27 to the Debtor.
27	6. The Trustee seeks said sum, as a non-exempt asset.

- 7. The Debtor maintains that only 25% of these funds are subject to turnover to the Trustee, the balance being exempt wages. His theory is that although the employer only withheld the statutory non-exempt amount, that because they were never "paid over to the creditor" that they, too, are entitled to the 75/25 exempt/non-exempt treatment accorded to a normal paycheck.
- 8. The Trustee contends that the legal character of the funds makes them a non-exempt asset in their entirety.

DISCUSSION

The funds held by the employer, as of the date of the filing, represented an unpaid, non-exempt asset of the Debtor. The exempt portion of the garnished paychecks had previously been paid to the Debtor. These funds were still the Debtor's property as of the date of filing, albeit subject to future dispossession by a judgment in garnishment.

When the creditor released its garnishment, or more precisely, was unable to perfect its garnishment prior to bankruptcy, the funds reverted to the Debtor's Trustee. They did not gain a new and different exemption status, nor did their character change from non-exempt to exempt. They always represented an unpaid, non-exempt asset as of the date of bankruptcy.

None of the monies are entitled to any exemption whatsoever.

RULING

The Trustee's motion for turnover will be granted. The Debtor's claim of exemption for said funds will be overruled, and the Trustee's objection to exemption granted. A separate order will be entered simultaneously with the issuance of this Memorandum Decision. FED. R. BANK. P. 9021.

DATED: August 30, 2007.

JAMES M. MARLAR

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

Jame M. Marla

1	COPIES served as indicated below this 30th day of August, 2007, upon:
2	Ronald Ryan
3	Ronald Ryan PC 3522 N. Boyce Spring Ln.
4	Tucson, AZ 85745-0001 E-mail: ronryanlaw@cox.net
5	Attorney for Debtor
6	Michael M. Neal 110 S. Church Ave., #4298
7	Tucson, AZ 85701 Email mmnealpc@qwest.net
8	Attorney for Trustee
9	Ronald Ancell, Trustee
10	1721 W. Klamath Dr. Tucson, AZ 85704
11	Email rancell@epitrustee.com
12	Office of the United States Trustee 230 North First Avenue, Suite 204
13	Phoenix, AZ 85003-1706 U.S. Mail
14	
15	By /s/ M. B. Thompson Judicial Assistant
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	