		SIGNED.		
1 2	1	Dated: April 23, 2009		
2		A state		
4		James M. Marlen		
5		JAMES M. MARLAR U.S. Bankruptcy Judge		
6	-			
7	IN THE UNITED STAT	TES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
8	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA			
9				
10	In re: ()	Chapter		
	ý	Chapter		
11	AVC VILLA DEL LAGO AT OCOTILLO ) DEVCO, L.L.C., an Arizona limited )	No. 2-08-06834-JMM		
12	liability company, tka AVC ) SWEETWATER VILLAGE, L.L.C., )	Jointly Administered With No. 2-08-06836 and		
13	dba VILLA DEL LAGO,	No. 2-08-06837		
14	Debtor)	MEMORANDUM DECISION		
15	AVC ESTRELLA VILLAGE DEVCO,			
16	L.L.C., an Arizona limited liability () company, dba THE VILLAS AT ()			
17	MOUNŤAIN RANCH,			
18	Debtor)			
19	VILLAS AT OCOTILLO, L.L.C., an ) Arizona limited liability company, tka )			
20	FOX VILLAGE VILLAS AT OCOTILLO, ) L.L.C.,			
21	ý í			
22	Debtor. )			
22	There are three Debtors in these r	natters, and their cases are being jointly administered.		
23 24	One Debtor, The Villas at Ocotillo, L.L.C. ("TVAO"), has filed an objection to the claim of Kitchell			
24 )5	Custom Builders ("Kitchell") (Dkt. #126). Kitchell responded, and filed a motion which seeks to			

allow the Kitchell claim, for voting purposes (Dkt. #139). After reviewing all pleadings relevant 26 to this issue, the court concludes that oral argument is unnecessary, and that it may decide the issue 27 on the pleadings and record before it. 28

25

1	
2 3	
3	Kitchell filed a
4	as an unsecured claim for \$68
5	two cases.
6	In its unsecure
7	March 11, 2005. Now, in resp
8	affidavits (Messrs. Butler and
9	The schedules
10	creditors, disputed or not:
11	
12	Dkt. #23
13	Dkt. #16
14	Dkt. #21
15	In the Ocotillo case (08-6837
16	
17	
18	
19	In its objection
20	purposes (the actual merits w
21	eventually, to disallow the er
22	Today, the cour
23	who owes how much to wh
24	confirmed. (See plan filed in
25	claim may be liquidated in th
26	
27	
28	

## **FACTS**

Kitchell filed a proof of claim in the case of TVAO (08-6837). That claim was filed as an unsecured claim for \$681,038 (claim no. 16). Kitchell has filed no claim in either of the other two cases. In its unsecured proof of claim, Kitchell attached its contract with TVAO dated

March 11, 2005. Now, in response to the Debtors' objection to its claim, Kitchell has also filed two affidavits (Messrs. Butler and Chowaniec) and an accounting summary of its claim.

The schedules of each of the Debtors reflect the following list of total unsecured creditors, disputed or not:

Dkt. #23	08-6834	AVC Villa del Lago	\$156,679.21
Dkt. #16	08-6836	AVC Estrella Village	\$141,890.56
Dkt. #21	08-6837	Villas at Ocotillo	\$1,107,180.93

In the Ocotillo case (08-6837), Kitchell is listed as a disputed creditor for \$624,122.00

## THE OBJECTION

In its objection to the Kitchell claim, the relief sought is first to disallow it for voting purposes (the actual merits will be later litigated in Superior Court if the plan is confirmed), and eventually, to disallow the entire claim.

Today, the court will only deal with the voting aspects, because the issues concerning who owes how much to whom is to be litigated in the Superior Court, if the Debtor's plan is confirmed. (*See* plan filed in 08-6837 at Dkt. #109, p. 16, 17, para. 8, 14.) Or, alternatively, the claim may be liquidated in the claims resolution process.

Under the joint plan, the Ocotillo unsecured creditors are to be paid out of any net
 recoveries from a lawsuit filed in Maricopa County Superior Court. (*See* Ex. C to Debtor's motion,
 Dkt. #126.) That suit was filed in Superior Court about three months ago.<sup>1</sup>

4 But merely because the Kitchell claim is disputed does not, of itself, deprive Kitchell 5 of its right to vote on the Debtor's plan. Besides its complaint, the Debtors have advanced no other 6 proof to overcome the prima facie validity accorded to Kitchell's claim. FED. R. BANKR. P. 3001(f). 7 Eventually, the outcome of the Superior Court litigation will determine the fate of the Kitchell claim. 8 Until such resolution, Kitchell may not be deprived of its vote merely because the Debtor disputes 9 the quality of Kitchell's construction work. It remains just as likely that Kitchell could defeat the 10 Debtor's complaint and prevail on its counterclaim. At this point, each side's contentions remain just 11 that--contentions-- and eventually the adversarial system will sort out and liquidate their various 12 claims. It is too early to divine that outcome.

The Debtor has, at this point, not sufficiently shown that Kitchell's claim is undeserving of a vote.

## **CONCLUSION**

The Debtor's motion to restrict Kitchell from voting on its plan will be DENIED; and
Kitchell's motion to allow its claim for voting purposes only will be GRANTED.

A separate order to that effect will be entered on the docket, and the hearing on this
issue, set for May 13, 2009, will be vacated.

22 23 24

25

26

13

14

15

16

17

DATED AND SIGNED ABOVE.

Kitchell complains that the bankruptcy court did not authorize the filing of this suit. However, a debtor-in-possession needs no such prior authorization. FED. R. BANKR. P. 6009.

1	COPIES served as indicated below on the date signed above:	
2	Scott R. Goldberg	
3	Schian Walker 3550 N. Central Ave., Suite 1700	
4	Phoenix, AZ 85012-2115 Attorneys for Debtors	Email: <u>ecfdocket@swazlaw.com</u>
5	Scott B. Cohen	
6	Engelman Berger, P.C. 3636 North Central Avenue, Suite 700	
7	Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Attorneys for Kitchell Custom Builders	Email: <u>sbc@engelmanberger.com</u>
8	Larry Lee Watson	
9	Office of the U.S. Trustee 230 North First Avenue, Suite 204 Phoenix, AZ 85003-1706	
10	Phoenix, AZ 85003-1706	Email: <u>larry.watson@usdoj.gov</u>
11	By <u>/s/ M.B. Thompson</u> Judicial Assistant	
12	Judicial Assistant	
13		
14 15		
15		
10		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		